United States Government

Department of Energy

# memorandum

DATE:

October 16, 2003

REPLY TO

ATTN TO: IG-36 (A03OR013)

Audit Report No.: OAS-L-04-02

SUBJECT: Waste Pits and Silos Remediation at the Fernald Closure Project

TO:

Robert Warther, Manager, Ohio Field Office

### INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVE

In November 2000, the Ohio Field Office awarded a contract to Fluor Fernald Inc., (Fluor) to complete the cleanup and closure of the Fernald Closure Project, located near Cincinnati, Ohio, by 2010. The Ohio Field Office modified the contract in March 2003 to require that site closure activities be completed in 2006, and directed Fluor to develop a revised baseline for accelerating all remaining cleanup activities.

Two of the largest and most difficult cleanup projects at the site are the Waste Pits and Silos Projects. The Waste Pits Project involves the excavation and disposal of waste from six pits ranging in size from a baseball diamond to a football field, and up to 30 feet deep. These pits contain about one million tons of low-level radioactive waste generated by past uranium and thorium processing activities. The excavated waste will be shipped to a commercial facility in Utah for disposal. The Silos Project involves the disposal of waste from, and demolition of, four concrete storage tanks constructed in the 1950s. Three of the silos contain a total of about 14,000 cubic yards of low-level waste, and the fourth is empty. The Department currently plans to stabilize and treat the silos waste prior to disposal at the Nevada Test Site or a commercial facility.

The objective of the audit was to determine whether the Waste Pits and Silos Projects were on schedule to be completed in 2006.

## CONCLUSIONS AND OBSERVATIONS

The projects appear to be on schedule for completion prior to the 2006 contract deadline. As of July 2003, the Department had disposed of about 570,000 tons of waste from the pits, and is on schedule to complete the Waste Pits Project in 2004. The Silos Project also appears to be on track for completion in 2006, even though a dispute between Fluor and one of its subcontractors has delayed cleanup activities and increased the estimated cost of removing the waste from silos 1 and 2 by over \$30 million.

Although the projects appear to be on schedule, the Office of Inspector General is concerned that the Ohio Field Office could reimburse Fluor for legal costs incurred with the termination of a subcontract involving the cleanup of silos 1 and 2. The subcontractor is pursuing a termination claim for its subcontract to design, build, and operate an accelerated waste retrieval and radon control system. To date, Fluor has incurred about \$1.2 million in legal costs to defend itself against the lawsuit. Since this dispute has not been settled, additional legal costs will probably be incurred.

In our opinion, if the Department reimburses Fluor for any legal costs or subcontract termination claims, these amounts should be included in Fluor's total project costs for fee determination purposes. We recognize that the Department gave advance approval for Fluor's decisions to negotiate a settlement with the subcontractor and retain outside legal counsel to defend itself in the subsequent litigation. However, the Department had previously notified Fluor that it would be held accountable for completing the Silos Project on time and within budget.

### SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

The audit was performed from April 28 through August 29, 2003 at the Fernald Closure Project near Cincinnati, Ohio. The scope of the audit was limited to costs and activities related to the Waste Pits and Silos Projects from Fiscal Years 1999 through 2003.

The audit was performed in accordance with generally accepted Government auditing standards for performance audits and included tests of internal controls and compliance with laws and regulations to the extent necessary to satisfy the audit objective. Accordingly, we assessed the significant internal controls related to the Waste Pits and Silos Projects. Because our review was limited, it would not necessarily have disclosed all internal control deficiencies that may have existed at the time of our audit. We did not assess the reliability of computer processed data because only a limited amount of computer processed data was used during the audit. Finally, we assessed the Department's compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, and found that specific performance targets had been established related to remediation projects.

We discussed the audit results with the Acting Director, Fernald Closure Project, on October 1, 2003. Since no formal recommendations are being made in this letter report, a formal response is not required. We appreciate the cooperation of your staff throughout the audit.

Terry L. Brendlinger, Director Environmental Audits Division Office of Inspector General

cc: Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management Team Leader, Audit Liaison Team, ME-2.1 Audit Liaison, DOE-OH Audit Liaison, DOE-FCP 005 F 1225.8 (03-93) United States Government

#### Department of Energy

## memorandum

DATE:

October 16, 2003

REPLY TO

ATTN OF:

IG-30 (A03OR013)

SUBJECT:

Audit Report on "Waste Pits and Silos Remediation at the Fernald Closure Project"

OIG

TO:

Team Leader, Audit Liaison Team, ME-2.1

Attached is the subject report. The report does not contain a finding or recommendations that need to be tracked in the Department's audit tracking system. Therefore, no Management Decision is required.

We appreciate your cooperation.

Terry L./Brendlinger, Director Environmental Audits Division Office of Inspector General

#### Attachments

cc: Manager, Ohio Field Office
Audit Liaison, Fernald Closure Project

Department of Energy

## memorandum

DATE:

October 16, 2003

**REPLY TO** 

ATTN OF:

IG-36 (A03OR013)

SUBJECT:

Audit Report on "Waste Pits and Silos Remediation at the Fernald Closure Project"

OIG

Director for Performance Audits and Administration TO:

Attached is the required final report package on the subject audit. The pertinent details are:

1. Staff days:

Programmed N/A

Actual N/A

Elapsed days:

Programmed 162

Actual 171

2. Names of OIG audit staff:

Assistant Director:

Team Leader: Auditor-in-Charge: Rick Buchanan Steve Sommer

Audit Staff:

Amy Hayes

Fred Pieper

3. Coordination with Investigations and Inspections:

The draft report was sent to Walt Warren, Office of Investigations, and Henry Minner, Office of Inspections, on September 8, 2003. Their responses indicated that the report would not affect any ongoing investigations or inspections.

> Brendlinger, Director Environmental Audits Division Office of Inspector General

#### Attachments:

- 1. Final Report (2)
- 2. Monetary Impact Report
- 3. Audit Project Summary Report
- 4. Audit Database Information Sheet

### MONETARY IMPACT OF REPORT NO.: OAS-L-04-02

	Other (specify	*****			
	Financial R		Program Resul		
	Financial S	tatement	Economy and I	Efficiency	x
	Financial:		Performance:	x	·
4:	Type of Audit:		e de la companya de l		
3.	Project No.:	A03OR013			
2.	Division:	Environmental Audits Di	vision		
l.	Title of Audit:	Waste Pits and Silos Proj	ects at the Fernald C	losure Project	,

5. Please report monetary savings identified in the report. If unable to quantify monetary savings at this time, please identify any potential future impact on audited activities/locations in the remarks section below.

FINDING		BETT	er used			MGT. POSITION	POTENTIAL BUDGET IMPACT	
(A)	(B) Title	(C) One Time	Recurring (D) Amount Per Year	(E) Questioned Portion	(F) Unsupported Portion	(G) Total	(H) C=Concur N=Noncon U=Undec	(I) Y=Ycs N=No
	None.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
TOTA	ALS-ALL FINDINGS	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Cognina, appoin	

6. Remarks: The projects appear to be on schedule for completion prior to the 2006 contract deadline. As of July 2003, the Department had disposed of about 570,000 tons of waste from the pits, and is on schedule to complete the Waste Pits Project in 2004. The Silos Project also appears to be on track for completion in 2006, even though a dispute between Fluor and one of its subcontractors has delayed cleanup activities and increased the estimated cost of removing the waste from silos 1 and 2 by over \$30 million. However, the Office of Inspector General is concerned that the Ohio Field Office could reimburse Fluor for legal costs incurred with the termination of a Fluor subcontract involving the cleanup of silos 1 and 2. To date, Fluor has incurred about \$1.2 million in legal costs to defend itself against the lawsuit. Since this dispute has not been settled, additional legal costs will probably be incurred.

7.	Contractor:	10. Approvals: 1   \int \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
8.	Contract No.:	Division Director/Date: Lung Develleng
9.	Task Order No.:	Technical Advisor & Date / Saw

## Audit Project Office Summary (APS)

Page 1

aport run on: October 16, 2003 4:27 PM

la de la companya de La companya de la co			*** Milestones **					
		Planned	End of Survey	Rev	rised	Actual		
Intrance Coni	Eerence:	01-OCT-02				28-APR-03		
Survey:		•		05-SE	P-03	05-SEP-03		
raft Report:								
Completed (Wi	th Report):.	30-SEP-03	05-SEP-03	07-00	T-03	16-OCT-03	(R)	
	Elapsed Days:		130		162	171		•
					Elap.	Less Susp:		
Date Suspende	ed:		Date Terminated:					
Date Reactive			Date Cancelled:					
	d(Cur/Tot):	(	) Report Number:	OAS-I	L-04-02			
Rpt Titl <u>e:</u>			Report Type:	LTR	LETTER	REPORT		
WASTE PITS A	ND SILOS REMEI	DIATION AT T	HE FERNALD CLOSUR				•	•
		The same and a survey of the same.		E PROJ	ECT			
		**** Aud	HE FERNALD CLOSUR	E PROJ	ECT			
Class: PEF	performance	**** Aud		E PROJ	ECT			
Class: PEF Program: EM	performance	**** Aud	it Codes and Pers	E PROJ	ECT			
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 009	PERFORMANCE Not Found	**** Aud	it Codes and Pers	e proj onnel	ECT	R		
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 005 Site: SSF	e performance Not Found CONTRACT/GR	**** Aud  ANT ADMIN AUDIT	it Codes and Pers	e PROJ onnel 496 277	ECT  ****  PIEPE SOMME	R R		
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 005 Site: SSF	PERFORMANCE Not Found CONTRACT/GR SINGLE-SITE ENVIRONMENT	**** Aud  ANT ADMIN AUDIT	it Codes and Pers  AD:	E PROJ Onnel 496 277 : 727	PIEPE SOMME BUCHA	R R R NAN		
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 009 Site: SSA SecMiss: ENV	PERFORMANCE Not Found CONTRACT/GR SINGLE-SITE ENVIRONMENT	ANT ADMIN AUDIT	it Codes and Pers  AD:  AIC:  Team Ldr	e PROJ onnel 496 277 : 727 : 421	PIEPE SOMME BUCHA	R R R NAN		
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 009 Site: SSA SecMiss: ENV	PERFORMANCE Not Found CONTRACT/GR SINGLE-SITE ENVIRONMENT Not Found	ANT ADMIN AUDIT	AD: AIC: Team Ldr Tech Adv	e PROJ onnel 496 277 : 727 : 421	PIEPE SOMME BUCHA	R R R NAN		
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 009 Site: SSF SecMiss: ENV PresInit:	PERFORMANCE Not Found CONTRACT/GR SINGLE-SITE ENVIRONMENT Not Found	ANT ADMIN AUDIT	AD: AIC: Team Ldr Tech Adv	e PROJ onnel: 496 277 727 421	PIEPE SOMME BUCHA SCHUL	R R R NAN		
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 009 Site: SSA SecMiss: ENV PresInit:	R PERFORMANCE Not Found CONTRACT/GR SINGLE-SITE ENVIRONMENT Not Found  er Dt:	ANT ADMIN AUDIT	it Codes and Pers  AD:  AIC:  Team Ldr  Tech Adv  Task Information	e PROJ onnel 496 277 727 421	PIEPE SOMME BUCHA SCHUL	R R R NAN		
Class: PEF Program: EM MgtChall: 005 Site: SSF SecMiss: ENV PresInit: Task No: Task Ord	PERFORMANCE Not Found CONTRACT/GR SINGLE-SITE ENVIRONMENT Not Found  Per Dt:	ANT ADMIN AUDIT	it Codes and Pers  AD:  AIC:  Team Ldr  Tech Adv  Task Information  CO Tech. Rep:	e PROJ  onnel  496 277 727 421	PIEPE SOMME BUCHA SCHUL	R R R NAN		

Emp/Cont Name	**** Tir	me Charges **** Last Date
ELLISON, F	0.1	09-AUG-03
SOLMONSON, D	1.4	20-SEP-03
BUCHANAN, P	23.4	04-OCT-03
HAYES, A	24.6	09 <b>-</b> AUG-03
SOMMER, S	79.4	04-QCT-03
Total:	128.9	

10/16/03 THU 15:47 FAX 423 241 3897 OIG

Ø 009

Audit Project Office Summary (APS)

Page 3

aport run on:

October 16, 2003 4:27 PM

Audit History

Audit No: A030R013

History Date: 16-OCT-03

History Text:

PB/ ENTERED COMPLETED WITH REPORT DATE.

#### Audit No. A03OR013

"Assessment of Changes to the Internal Control Structure and Their Impact on the Allowability of Costs Claimed by and Reimbursed to Bechtel Jacobs Company LLC Under Department of Energy Contract No. DÉ-AC05-98OR22700"

If your audit work or report deals with any of the following information, be sure to have your information reviewed by a classifier to ensure you are not inadvertently discussing Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data or any other level of classification. Information in the aggregate can be classified even if taken from unclassified sources.

AIDS FOR REVIEW OF INFORMATION THAT MAY BE CLASSIFIED OR CONTROLLED	YES	NO
Source or formula for Chemical/Biological Agents that has not been widely reported in open scientific literature.		X
Existence of a specific Chemical/Biological agent that is considered a threat to national security at a specified location within a government facility.		х
Statements that a specific Chemical/Biological agent considered a threat to national security cannot be detected by existing technology.		X
Information concerning significant technical advances and break- throughs in Chemical/Biological agent detection, dissemination, or response technologies that could significantly assist an adversary.		Х
Results or interpretation of research results from computer modeling that reveal specific operational deficiencies or vulnerabilities of a facility, infrastructure, or response plan which could be exploited or otherwise could materially aid an adversary in planning or conducting a Chemical/Biological attack.		X
Source term parameters (e.g. location, quantity, release rate, dispersal mechanisms, physical state, or particulate size distribution) of a Chemical/Biological agent and the airborne or surface concentrations resulting from dispersion modeling.		Х
Specific dispersion mechanisms for specific chemical/Biological agents, including grinding techniques and pressurized systems that would be effective for dispersion over a large area.		X
Details of operational scenarios either for intelligence, civilian, or military organizations that would reveal current vulnerabilities or lessen the effectiveness of the scenarios.		Х
Information about deployment of a specific detector or response system that could be used to defeat or significantly reduce the effectiveness of that system or otherwise materially aid an adversary in planning or conducting an attack.		X

Descriptions of specific vulnerabilities of decontamination equipment or procedures that could be exploited to prevent or significantly reduce their ability to perform required functions or otherwise	X
materially aid an adversary in planning or conducting a	
Chemical/Biological attack.	
Descriptions of specific conditions (e.g., carrier for an agent or environmental condition) that could be exploited to reduced the effectiveness of standard decontaminants or decontamination procedures such that risks to unprotected personnel cannot be reduced to acceptable levels, or otherwise would aid an adversary in planning a Chemical/Biological attack.	x
Nuclear weapon design, fabrication, and utilization.	X
Radiological warfare.	X
Radiological dispersal devices.	X
Inertial confinement fusion.	X
Military nuclear reactors (not necessary for civilian).	X

## AUDIT DATABASE INFORMATION SHEET

OIG

1. Project Number:

A03OR013

2. Title of Audit:

Waste Pits and Silos Remediation at the Fernald Closure

Project

3. Report Number and Date:

101812 DASK-04-02

DCTOBER 16,2003

→ → → HO

4. Management Challenge Area:

009 - Contracts and Grants Management

5. Presidential Mgmt Initiative:

N/A

6. Secretary Priority/Initiative:

ENV - Environmental Management

7. Program Code:

EM - Environmental Management

8. Location/Sites:

Fernald Closure Project, near Cincinnati Ohio

9. Finding Summary:

The projects appear to be on schedule for completion prior to the 2006 contract deadline. As of July 2003, the Department had disposed of about 570,000 tons of waste from the pits, and is on schedule to complete the Waste Pits Project in 2004. The Silos Project also appears to be on track for completion in 2006, even though a dispute between Fluor and one of its subcontractors has delayed cleanup activities and increased the estimated cost of removing the waste from silos 1 and 2 by over \$30 million.

Although the projects appear to be on schedule, the Office of Inspector General is concerned that the Ohio Field Office could reimburse Fluor for legal costs incurred with the termination of a Fluor subcontract involving the cleanup of silos 1 and 2. The subcontractor is pursuing a termination claim for its subcontract to design, build, and operate an accelerated waste retrieval and radon control system. To date, Fluor has incurred about \$1.2 million in legal costs to defend itself against the lawsuit. Since this dispute has not been settled, additional legal costs will probably be incurred.

10. Keywords:

Waste Pits

Silos 1 and 2

Silo 3

Fernald Closure Project

Site Closure Legal Costs

Contract Termination Fluor Fernald, Inc.